



ADDENDUM NO. 1

Date: February 3, 2026

**TO: CANYON COUNTY AMBULANCE DISTRICT’S REQUEST FOR
QUALIFICATIONS**

**DESIGN/ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR
CANYON COUNTY AMBULANCE DISTRICT MEDIC 51 STATION**

See Attached Exhibit “1,” incorporated by reference herein, which consists of Addendum No. 1 to Canyon County Ambulance District’s Design/Engineering Services for Medic 51 Station.

Approved this 3rd day of February, 2026.

**BOARD OF AMBULANCE DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS
CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO**

_____ Motion Carried Unanimously
_____ Motion Carried/Split Vote Below
_____ Motion Defeated/Split Vote Below

	Yes	No	Did Not Vote
_____ Commissioner Leslie Van Beek	_____	_____	_____
_____ Commissioner Brad Holton	_____	_____	_____
_____ Commissioner Zach Brooks	_____	_____	_____

ATTEST:

By: _____
Secretary

**ADDENDUM NO. 1
OVERVIEW**

Date: February 3, 2026

**DESIGN/ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR
CANYON COUNTY AMBULANCE DISTRICT MEDIC 51 STATION**

To: All Proposers

STATEMENTS DUE DATE: As stated in the Calendar of Events, Statements must be delivered to the Board of Ambulance district Commissioners, 6116 Graye Lane, Caldwell, Idaho 83607, no later than **9:30 a.m., Tuesday, February 10, 2026.**

1. NOTICE TO PROPOSERS:

- A. This Addendum shall be considered part of the original Canyon County, Idaho's Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") issued by the Board of County Commissioners on **January 14, 2026.**
- B. Proposers are hereby notified that they shall make necessary adjustments in their statements based upon this Addendum.
- C. This Addendum consists of:
 - Cover Sheet (1 page)
 - Addendum No. 1 Overview (1 page)
 - Miscellaneous Clarifications and Questions (2 pages)

**ADDENDUM NO. 1
MISCELLANEOUS CLARIFICATIONS**

Date: February 3, 2026

**DESIGN/ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR
CANYON COUNTY AMBULANCE DISTRICT MEDIC 51 STATION**

TO: All Proposers

Proposals Due: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, February 10, 2026

Miscellaneous Clarifications:

The following information, as well as the RFQ in pdf format will be available on the Ambulance District's website at <https://www.ccparamedics.com/>.

Questions:

Written questions were submitted by:

Pivot North Design

Q.1. **Budget Clarification** Section A states the "initial budget estimate for the Project is three million dollars (\$3,000,000)".

- **Question:** Does this figure represent the **Total Project Cost** (inclusive of A/E fees, FF&E, permits, and soft costs) or is this the **Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC)** available specifically for the building construction contract?

A.1. Total project costs.

Q.2. **Site Infrastructure** The project is located at "119 East Elm Street and TBS Ellis Avenue".

- **Question:** Are municipal utilities (water, sewer, power) currently stubbed to the property line, or should our team anticipate designing off-site main extensions?

A.2. Water, Sewer and Power are located along the property lines.

Q.3. **Scoring Weight Confirmation** Section IV (RFQ Checklist) lists "Design/Engineering Services Experience" with a potential score of 10, 20, or 30 points, while items 2 through 6 are weighted at 1, 2, or 3 points.

- **Question:** Could you please confirm what the points should be for 1. Design/Engineering Services Experience?

Demonstrated competence and qualifications:

1. Design/Engineering Services Experience (10, 20, or 30 points)
2. List of Similar Projects (1, 2, or 3 points)
3. Customer Reference List (1, 2, or 3 points)
4. Proposed Contractor Staff (1, 2, or 3 points)
5. Proposed Sub-Contractors, if any (1, 2, or 3 points)
6. Statement of Approach (1, 2, or 3 points)

A.3. The points statement in the RFQ (10, 20, or 30) is accurate.

Q.4. Design Goals

- **Question:** Beyond what is stated in the RFQ, are there any design goals that you can provide that should drive the design approach and the submission overall? Understanding your priorities will help us tailor our response to best serve your vision.

A.4. The RFQ notes Scope of Work / required elements.

Q.5. Regarding the sentence: "Proposing contractor must respond to each item of this section, complete Section IV. RFQ CHECKLIST AND REVIEW CRITERIA, and enclose the checklist in the proposing contractor's qualifications."

- **Question:** Could you please provide clarification regarding the checklist referred to in this sentence? If the checklist is as written in Section IV currently, please confirm the preferred method of completing this requirement in the SOQ.

A.5. Please fill out and provide as a part of your SOQ, the checklist included herewith as Attachment 1 of this Addendum.

Attachments included in Addendum No. 1:

1. RFQ Checklist.